

## OUTCOMES OF THE 18<sup>th</sup> SESSION OF THE COMMISSION

PREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT, 13 JULY 2014

### PURPOSE

To inform participants at the 5<sup>th</sup> Working Party on Temperate Tunas (WPTmT05) of the decision and requests made by the Commission at its 18<sup>th</sup> Session, held from 1–5 June 2014, specifically relating to the work of the WPTmT.

### BACKGROUND

At the 18<sup>th</sup> Session, the Commission **CONSIDERED** and **ADOPTED** 7 proposals as Conservation and Management Measures (7 in total consisting of 6 Resolutions and 1 Recommendation), as detailed below:

#### Resolutions

- Resolution 14/01 *On the removal of obsolete Conservation and Management Measures*
- Resolution 14/02 *For the conservation and management of tropical tunas stocks in the IOTC area of competence*
- Resolution 14/03 *On enhancing the dialogue between fisheries scientists and managers*
- Resolution 14/04 *Concerning the IOTC record of vessels authorised to operate in the IOTC area of competence*
- Resolution 14/05 *Concerning a record of licensed foreign vessels fishing for IOTC species in the IOTC area of competence and access agreement information*
- Resolution 14/06 *On establishing a programme for transshipment by large-scale fishing vessels*

#### Recommendations

- Recommendation 14/07 *To standardise the presentation of scientific information in the annual Scientific Committee report and in Working Party reports*

Pursuant to Article IX.4 of the IOTC Agreement, the above mentioned Conservation and Management Measures shall become binding on Members, 120 days from the date of the notification communicated by the Secretariat in IOTC Circular 2014–60 (10 June 2014, i.e. 8 October 2014).

### DISCUSSION

The Commission also made a number of general comments on the recommendations made by the Scientific Committee in 2013, which have relevance for the WPTmT as follows (paragraph numbers refer to the report of the Commission (IOTC–2014–S18–R)):

Para 12. *The Commission **NOTED** that there remains considerable uncertainty about the relationship between abundance and the standardised CPUE series for albacore in the IOTC area of competence, and about the total catches over the past decade. The most recent assessment was carried out in 2012 and the next assessment is scheduled for 2014. Revisions to the catch history in 2013 indicated that reported landings in 2012 (33,960 t), and those from 2011 (33,605 t) are only slightly above the MSY estimates from the 2012 stock assessment. Maintaining or increasing effort in the core albacore fishing grounds is likely to result in further declines in albacore biomass, productivity and CPUE.*

Para. 13. *The Commission **AGREED** that pending the results of the 2014 albacore stock assessment, it should take a precautionary approach to the management of albacore and consider, at its 19th Session, proposals for Conservation and Management Measure to reduce fishing pressure for albacore; including the consideration of zone-based management of fishing effort.*

---

The complete report of the 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission is available for download from the IOTC website:

- English: <http://iotc.org/documents/report-eighteenth-session-indian-ocean-tuna-commission>
- French: <http://iotc.org/fr/documents/rapport-de-la-dix-huiti%C3%A8me-session-de-la-commission-des-thons-de-l%E2%80%99oc%C3%A9an-indien>

## RECOMMENDATION/S

That the WPTmT

- 1) **NOTE** paper IOTC–2014–WPTmT05–04 which outlined the main outcomes of the 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission, specifically related to the work of the WPTmT and **AGREE** to consider how best to provide the SC with the information it needs, in order to satisfy the Commission’s requests, throughout the course of the current WPTmT meeting.
- 2) **NOTE** the 7 Conservation and Management Measures (CMMs) adopted at the 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Commission (consisting of 6 Resolutions and 1 Recommendation), and in particular, [Recommendation 14/07 To standardise the presentation of scientific information in the annual Scientific Committee report and in Working Party reports](#), which has a direct impact on the work of the WPTmT.

## APPENDICES

**[Appendix A](#)**: Recommendation 14/07 *To standardise the presentation of scientific information in the annual scientific committee report and in working party reports*

## APPENDIX A



## RECOMMENDATION 14/07

**TO STANDARDISE THE PRESENTATION OF SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION IN THE ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE REPORT AND IN WORKING PARTY REPORTS**

**Pursuant to Article IX.4 of the IOTC Agreement, this Conservation and Management Measure shall come into effect on 8 October 2014**

**The Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC),**

RECOGNISING the importance of sound scientific advice as the centre piece for the conservation and management of tuna and tuna-like species in the Indian Ocean and adjacent seas in line with international law and the information needs of the Commission;

NOTING that participants of the first Global Summit of Tuna RFMOs in 2007 in Kobe, Japan agreed that stock assessment results be presented in a standardised "four quadrant, red-yellow-green-orange" format that is now referred as the "Kobe Plot" which is widely embraced as a practical, user-friendly method to present stock status information;

FURTHER NOTING that, at the Second Joint Meeting of Tuna RFMOs in June 2009 in San Sebastian, Spain, a "Strategy Matrix" was adopted to provide fisheries managers with the statistical probability of meeting management targets, including ending overfishing and rebuilding overfished stocks, in a standardised manner as a result of potential management actions;

AKNOWLEDGING that the Strategy Matrix is a harmonised format for RFMO science bodies to convey advice, and that this format for presenting stock assessment results facilitates the application of the precautionary approach by providing Commissions with the basis to evaluate and adopt management options at various levels of probability of success;

RECALLING recommendations of the Kobe II Workshop of Experts to Share Best Practices on the Provision of Scientific Advice and of the Kobe III recommendations, in particular on development on research activities to better quantify the uncertainty and understand how this uncertainty is reflected in the risk assessment inherent in the Kobe II strategy matrix;

FURTHER RECALLING the provisions of the Recommendation 12/15 *on the best available science*, that requests the provision of clear, transparent, and standardised formats for scientific advice delivered to the Commission;

TAKING INTO ACCOUNT that Resolutions 12/01 *on the implementation of the precautionary approach* and 13/10 *on interim target and limit reference points and a decision framework*, make possible the implementation of the precautionary approach thanks to the adoption of interim target and limit reference points;

NOTING the excellent work to date by the Scientific Committee, its working parties and the IOTC Secretariat to standardise the presentation of scientific information in their annual reports, including via the '*Executive Summaries*' for each stock;

STRESSING the importance of further refining the presentation of scientific information to facilitate appropriate utilisation by the Commission;

RECOMMENDS, in accordance with paragraph 8 of Article IX of the IOTC Agreement, that:

1. In support of the scientific advice made available by the IOTC Scientific Committee, the '*Executive Summaries*' within the annual IOTC Scientific Committee report which present stock assessment results, include when possible:

**Stock status**

- a) A Kobe plot/chart showing:
  - i. Any Target and Limit Reference Points adopted by the Commission, e.g.  $F_{MSY}$  and  $F_{LIM}$ ,  $SB_{MSY}$  and  $SB_{LIM}$  or  $B_{MSY}$  and  $B_{LIM}$ , depending on the assessment models used by the Scientific Committee, or proxies where available;
  - ii. The stock estimates, expressed in reference to Target Reference Points adopted by the Commission, e.g. as  $F_{CURRENT}$  on  $F_{MSY}$  and as  $SB_{CURRENT}$  on  $SB_{MSY}$  or as  $B_{CURRENT}$  on  $B_{MSY}$ ;
  - iii. The estimated uncertainty around estimates, provided that statistical methods to do so have been agreed upon the Scientific Committee and that sufficient data exist;
  - iv. The stock status trajectory.
- b) A graphical representation showing the proportion of model outputs of the years used for advice from the last stock assessment that are within the green quadrant of the Kobe plot/chart (not overfished, not subject to overfishing), the yellow and orange quadrants (overfished or subject to overfishing) and the red quadrant (overfished and subject to overfishing).

**Model outlooks**

- c) Two Kobe II strategy matrices:
  - i. A first one indicating the probability of complying with the Target Reference Points adopted by the Commission, e.g. the probability of either  $SB > SB_{MSY}$  or  $B > B_{MSY}$  and of  $F < F_{MSY}$  for different levels of catch across multiple years;
  - ii. A second one indicating the probability of being inside safe biological limits expressed through Limit Reference Points adopted by the Commission, e.g. the probability of either  $SB > SB_{LIM}$  or  $B > B_{LIM}$  and of  $F < F_{LIM}$  for different levels of catch across multiple years;
  - iii. When the Commission agrees on acceptable probability levels associated with the target and limit reference points on a stock by stock basis, the Scientific Committee could prepare and include, in the annual report, the Kobe II strategy matrices using colour coding corresponding to these thresholds.

**Data quality and limitations of the assessment models**

- d) A statement qualifying the quality, the reliability and where relevant the representativeness of input data to stock assessments, such as, but not limited to:
  - i. Fisheries statistics and fisheries indicators (e.g. catch and effort, catch-at size and catch at age matrices by sex and, when applicable, fisheries dependent indices of abundance);
  - ii. Biological information (e.g. growth parameters, natural mortality, maturity and fecundity, migration patterns and stock structure, fisheries independent indices of abundance);
  - iii. Complementary information (e.g. consistencies among available abundance indices, influence of the environmental factors on the dynamic of the stock, changes in fishing effort distribution, selectivity and fishing power, changes in target species).
- e) A statement qualifying the limits of the assessment model with respect to the type and the quality of the input data and expressing the possible biases in the assessment results associated with uncertainties of the input data;
- f) A statement concerning the reliability of the projections carried out over the long term.

**Alternative approach (data poor stocks)**

2. When, due to data or modelling limitations, the IOTC Scientific Committee is unable to develop Kobe II strategy matrices and associated charts or other estimates of current status relative to benchmarks, the IOTC Scientific Committee will develop its scientific advice on available fisheries-dependant and fisheries-independent indicators and provide similar caveats as those detailed in paragraph 1(d).

---

**Additional information and review of the structure and templates of the 'Executive Summaries'**

3. The Commission encourages the IOTC Scientific Committee to include either in its annual report or in the detailed reports, where possible and if considered as relevant and useful, any other tables and/or graphics supporting scientific advice and management recommendations. In particular, the IOTC Scientific Committee will include, where possible, information on the recruitment trajectories, on the stock-recruitment relationship and some ratio such as yield per recruit or biomass per recruit.
4. As far as needed, the IOTC Scientific Committee shall review recommendations and templates for the Kobe II strategy matrices, plot and graphical representations as laid down in this Recommendation and will advise the Commission on possible improvements.